1,4-DIOXANE: AN EMERGING CONTAMINANT IN TEXAS...

PRESENTED BY

J. SCOTT POYNOR, PG



 TOPICS
 TCE

 COVERED
 TCA

 1,1-DCE
 VC

- WHAT IS 1,4-DIOXANE ? -How DID 1,4-DIOXANE BECOME AN ISSUE? -CAN THIS ISSUE AFFECT ME? -WHERE DOES IT COME FROM AND HOW IS IT USED ? -How is it Regulated? -CAN 1,4-DIOXANE BE REMEDIATED? -WHAT'S NEXT?

1,4-Dioxane

What is 1,4-Dioxane?

- 1,4-Dioxane is an EPA Emerging Contaminant
- Cyclic ether a colorless, flammable liquid
- 1,4-Dioxane is highly soluble in water and is also soluble in oil
- 1,4-Dioxane is highly mobile in groundwater
- Diffusion transport of 1,4-Dioxane in groundwater is faster than other chlorinated solvents
- Can be a plume leading edge indicator in certain circumstances
- Migrates rapidly through soil with very poor adsorption



EPA Defines An "Emerging Contaminant" As Follows

"Chemicals are being discovered that <u>previously</u> <u>had not been detected</u> or are being detected at levels that may be significantly <u>different than</u> <u>expected</u>. Additionally, risk to human health and the environment associated with their presence, frequency of occurrence, or source may not be known."



Air Force Plant 44 / Raytheon Superfund Tucson International Airport Area, Tucson, Arizona

"At Air Force Plant 44, the operating contractors used and disposed of metals, chlorinated solvents and other substances since 1951. Trichloroethylene (TCE) was used in several degreasers and as a general-purpose solvent from the 1950's through the mid-1970's. By the mid-1970's, TCE was replaced with <u>1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA)</u> as the dominant solvent. In the late 1980s, TCA was discontinued in favour of limited Freon use and aqueous degreasers."



Air Force Plant 44 / Raytheon Superfund Tucson International Airport Area, Tucson, Arizona

- GW Solvent Plumes found in 1981, delineated in 1982, NPL listing in 1983, pump and treat started in 1987, effluent was re-injected to slow plume migration toward the north
- 1,4-Dioxane, a TCA stabilizer, is found in 2002 in the Tucson water supply wells
- Effluent sampling showed the AFP-44 treatment system was re-injecting water contaminated with 1,4-Dioxane
- The 1,4-Dioxane contamination affected ~9% of Tucson water supply



Air Force Plant 44 / Raytheon Superfund Tucson International Airport Area, Tucson, Arizona

- Re-injection ceased in 2004
- May 2007 EPA ordered Air Force to fix the treatment system to remove 1,4-Dioxane
- The pump and treatment system was restarted in September 2009
- August 2011 EPA, AZ, Air Force Agreement to re-open the RI/FS for the AFP-44 site 24 years after the start of groundwater remediation





Air Force Plant 44 / Raytheon Superfund

- Groundwater Plume measures
 - approximately 5 miles long by ½ mile wide
- 1,4-Dioxane Impact north of blue line is from water re-injected from 1987-2004



How Was 1,4-DIOXANE MISSED?

- 1,4-Dioxane does <u>not</u> appear on the standard reporting list for VOCs by EPA method 8260 or SVOCs by EPA method 8270
- Both EPA methods 8260 and 8270 (GC/MS) are capable of reporting 1,4-Dioxane. However, reporting levels with these methods were <u>historically</u> elevated.
- In 2008 EPA released Method 522 with Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) for the Drinking Water Program.
- In recent years, modifications to 8260 and 8270 have made 1,4-Dioxane detectable at much lower levels.
 Many laboratories can now run 522 or 8270 SIM that yield very low detection levels.

Re-Assessing 1,4-Dioxane Health Risk

EPA Conducted New Risk Assessment

- In 2012, the EPA approved and funded a full Risk Assessment of 7 high priority chemicals which include six flame retardants and 1,4-Dioxane under the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)
- The Risk Assessment was completed in 2013



Re-Assessing 1,4-Dioxane Health Risk

EPA Found Significant Risk

- The Risk Assessment findings published in EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) indicate 1,4-Dioxane is "likely to be carcinogenic to humans by all routes of exposure" (oral, inhalation, and dermal)
- California Prop. 65 has had 1,4-Dioxane listed as a human carcinogen





Re-Assessing 1,4-Dioxane Health Risk

Health Effects

- EPA Probable Human Carcinogen
- Non-Carcinogenic effects on Liver & Kidney

Regulatory

- No Federal MCL
- Tier I TOX Values USEPA IRIS
- At least 12 States with Promulgated Water Stds.
- Many States with "Guidance" Values



- In January 2013, The Air Force Center for Engineering and the Environment (AFCEE) announced it is re-assessing 291 Superfund sites for 1,4-Dioxane testing
- This resulted from an internal AFCEE study that identified 1,4-Dioxane as a frequent cocontaminant with TCE, occurring at actionable levels in approximately 64% of impacted sites evaluated



1,4-Dioxane: Where Do We Need To Look?

Figure 2: Common Degradation Pathways



ITRC - NATURAL ATTENUATION OF CHLORINATED SOLVENTS IN GROUNDWATER:PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES - FINAL MAY 1999, Appendix D



1,4-Dioxane: Where Do We Need To Look?

PCE, TCE and its degradation products probably persist at most sites for 1 to 2 orders of magnitude longer in than TCA

1,4-Dioxane is believed to be recalcitrant and to persist for similar time frames as the unsaturated chlorinated compounds



ITRC - NATURAL ATTENUATION OF CHLORINATED SOLVENTS IN GROUNDWATER:PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES - FINAL MAY 1999, Appendix D





Comparison of Regulatory & Guidance Risk-Based Values



2003 – 2017 TCEQ TRRP has had a 1,4-Dioxane PCL. Current (2011-2017) Tier 1 Default PCL ($^{GW}GW_{Ing}$, 0.5 Ac, Residential) = 9.1 μ/L

Adopted from SERDP & ESTCP Webinar Series #52 https://serdp-estcp.org/Tools-and-Training/Webinar-Series/04-06-2017



Action Levels - Groundwater Action Levels Are Typically In The Single Digit PPB Range

- The TCEQ has a TRRP action level of 9.1 μg/L (Revised May 2011)
- EPA Regions III, VI and IX have a new screening level of 6.1 μg/L
- Florida DEQ Groundwater Clean-up Target Level of 3.2 μg/L
- California adopted a drinking water notification level of 1.0 μg/L
- EPA Region 3 Risk Based Concentration (ingestion) of 0.67 μg/L
- EPA IRIS E⁻⁶ Carcinogenic Oral Exposure Risk at 0.35 μg/L
- Massachusetts has revised drinking water guideline to 0.30 μg/L





- Used as a Reagent Grade Solvent
- By-Product of Surfactant Production (*ethoxylation*)
- Used as a Chlorinated Solvent Stabilizer and Corrosion Inhibitor



Used as a Reagent Grade Solvent

- Paint, varnish and lacquer solvent
- Solvent for extracting oils
- Ink solvent



 Coatings and adhesive solvent in celluloid film processing (Pall Gelman Site - Ann Arbor, MI)



By-Product of Ethoxylation

- Ethoxylation is a chemical process used to create surfactants by combining ethylene oxide with an alcohol, amine or phenol under specific conditions
- Ethoxylation produces fabric softeners and foaming agents in laundry detergents and shampoos such as sodium laureth sulfate (SLS)
- The polymerization of ethoxylation forms 1,4-Dioxane as a byproduct. Consumer products containing SLS have been found to contain 1,4-Dioxane at concentrations up to 279 ppm



By-Product of Ethoxylation

Laundry Detergents Tested (Organic Consumers Association)

Tide (P&G)* – 55 ppm Ivory Snow Gentle (P&G) – 31 ppm Tide Free (P&G)* – 29 ppm Purex– 25 ppm Gain 2X Ultra (P&G)* – 21 ppm Cheer BrightClean (P&G)* – 20 ppm Era 2X Ultra (P&G)* – 14 ppm Arm & Hammer – 5.0 ppm Wisk 2X Ultra – 3.9 ppm Woolite Complete Detergent – 1.3 ppm Unilever laundry detergent – 0.6 ppm



* - P&G has committed to removing 1,4-Dioxane in laundry detergents by the end of 2013 <u>http://www.naturalnews.com/028846_laundry_detergents_dioxane.html#ixzz2d6nfWM4w</u>

Used as a Solvent Stabilizer and Corrosion Inhibitor

- Chlorinated solvents, in particular 1,1,1 Trichloroethane (TCA), require a solvent stabilizer to preserve shelf life for marketability
- Chlorinated solvents tend to break down in the presence of light, heat and oxygen, or react with acids and metal salts
- Addition of stabilizers to chlorinated solvents provides necessary acid acceptors, metal inhibitors, and antioxidants
- Patent applications for stabilization by Dow chemist H.J. Bachtel in 1954 and 1955 led to much broader use of TCA starting in 1957



- Trichloroethylene (TCE) was the preferred solvent used in many industrial applications from the <u>1940s</u> through the <u>1960s</u>. In the late 1960s, TCE came under increasing occupational scrutiny because it was identified as an animal carcinogen
- As a result, many firms switched to 1,1,1
 Trichloroethane (TCA) by the <u>1970s</u>. TCA
 reached peak production in the mid <u>1980s</u>.





CP

What Are 1,4-Dioxane's Uses?



Doherty, RE (2000), Journal of Environmental Forensics; Morrison et al. (2005), Ch. 12, Chlorinated Solvents, in *Environmental Forensics*





- 1, 4 Dioxane was added as a stabilizer to TCA at 2% – 6% by volume
- Increased popularity of TCA dictated an increase in the use of 1,4-Dioxane



- 1,4-Dioxane was manufactured from the late 1950s to present and widely used in commerce
- In 1985 alone, the Department of Commerce reported that 25 million pounds were produced for domestic commerce
- Approximately 90% of the 1985 production in the United States was used as a TCA stabilizer



Chlorinated solvents were widely used as the preferred cleaner of metal parts and electronics, because they have high solvency for both cold cleaning and vapor degreasing



- Cold Cleaning refers to direct liquid application in dipping, wiping or spraying
- Common examples of TCA cold cleaning
 - Dip solvent for metal parts cleaning
 - Spray solvent for circuit board cleaning
 - Electroplating electrode cleaning
- Vapor degreasing covers an object with a dense chlorinated solvent fog producing very clean, dry parts. TCA use in vapor degreasers peaked in the 1970s through the early 1980s



Approximately 25,000 vapor degreasers were in operation domestically in 1979



- TCA boils at 78°C, 1,4-Dioxane boils at 101°C
- 1,4-Dioxane is concentrated in vapor degreasers with use
- A DOW Lab study found vapor degreaser still bottoms contained up to 15% 1,4-Dioxane (150,000 mg/L) after 30 days of use
- Still bottom waste containing up to 22% 1,4-Dioxane (220,000 mg/L) have been reported



How does 1,4-Dioxane get into Soil and Groundwater?

Published Routine Disposal Practices Vapor Degreasing Sludge - 1964

[American Society of Metals, Metals Handbook: Heat Treating, 8th Edition, Volume 2. Metals Park, Ohio]

"Any procedure for disposal depends on local, state and federal regulations. In the absence of any clearly defined ordinances, the sludge is usually poured on dry ground well away from buildings, and the solvents are allowed to evaporate. If the sludge is free flowing, it is placed in shallow open containers and allowed to evaporate before the solids are dumped on the ground."



How does 1,4-Dioxane get into Soil and Groundwater?

Published Chlorinated Solvent Waste Still Bottom Management Practices - 1972

[Chemical Hazards Bulletin, American Insurance Association, C-86, March 1972, New York, NY. Pg. 42]

"Waste mixtures should not be discharged into drains or sewers where there is a danger that the vapor may be ignited. In cases such as these, the waste should be removed to a safe location (away from inhabited areas, highways, buildings, or combustible structures) and poured onto dry sand, earth, or ashes, then cautiously ignited. Burning of chlorinated hydrocarbon wastes should be done only when permitted by controlling authorities and then under constant supervision."



Local Example – Old East Dallas





Local Example – Old East Dallas

1,1 Dichloroethane0.001 mg/Lcis-1,2 Dichloroethene0.003 mg/L1,4-Dióxane0.0001 mg/L1,1,1 Trichloroethane<0.001 mg/L</td>Tetrachloroethene0.001 mg/LTrichloroethene0.091 mg/L



Local Example – Old East Dallas

1,1 Dichloroethane0.001 mg/Lfrom TCAcis-1,2 Dichloroethene0.003 mg/Lfrom TCE1,4-Dióxane0.0002 mg/Lfrom TCA1,1,1 Trichloroethane0.001 mg/LTCA is NDTetrachloroethene0.001 mg/Lfrom PCETrichloroethene0.091 mg/Lfrom PCEand/or TCE0.001 TCE



1,4-Dioxane Remediation

Cleanup Challenges

- Very Stable; Difficult to Remediate
- Resistant to in- or ex-situ bioremediation
- Will not air strip
- Very poorly adsorbed
 by activated carbon



1,4-Dioxane Remediation

Groundwater Remediation Technologies

- EPA Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation (SITE) Program recommends Advanced Oxidation as an active remedy
- Some phyto-remediation cases have demonstrated moderate success, with very limited applicability
- Closely managed ex situ bioreactors have demonstrated some success, however, throughput volume is limited
- Successful in situ bioremediation has yet to be demonstrated



1,4-Dioxane Remediation

Groundwater Remediation Technologies

- Ex situ treatment systems have demonstrated 1,4-Dioxane destruction incorporating generation of ozone, hydroxyl free radical, sulfate free radical, superoxide anion and combinations thereof
- Successful in situ advanced oxidation is much more difficult than ex situ treatment. However, in situ treatment avoids inherent inefficiencies and long lifespan of pump and (ex situ) treatment



1,4-Dioxane: Where Do We Need To Look?

- Locations where groundwater was impacted with chlorinated solvents which will be removed, treated then re-injected or sent to a surface water body (chlorinated solvent pump & treat)
- Sites where any 1,1,1-TCA, 1,1-DCE or 1,1-DCA is found in the shallow groundwater
- Sites with historic vapor degreasers use from the late 1950s to the early 1990s, regardless of what solvents or degradation products are currently observed in GW, because the 1,1,1-TCA and its degradation products will probably be gone



- 1,4-Dioxane has not been historically tested for at the majority of TCA/TCE groundwater sites
- EPA and many State regulators are instituting policies for re-opening assessment at TCA/TCE groundwater sites where 1,4-Dioxane has never been tested
- As of 2013, EPA Region VI requires evaluation for 1,4-Dioxane testing at all TCA/TCE groundwater Superfund sites under 5 year review



- As of 2016, the TCEQ has started requesting 1,4-Dioxane analysis at TCA/TCE groundwater sites
- Currently, there is a reluctance to re-open an approved Affected Property Assessment Report, especially if a Response Action Plan has been submitted and approved prior to 1,4-Dioxane analysis
- The TCEQ may request 1,4-Dioxane analysis at sites in the beginning or middle of the APAR process that have TCA or TCE groundwater contamination. If the site has had historic vapor degreasing operations, the likely hood of required 1,4-Dioxane testing increases







Will Public Opinion Affect Policy?



"Given the absence of Federal leadership on these issues, New York has stepped in to fill the void"

State of New York Executive Chamber Albany 12224

Andrew M. Cuomo GOVERNOR "...Advanced Oxidation Process technology to remove 1,4-Dioxane from the water supply has

been approved..." February 11, 2017

Cather Asi new contaminants continue to emerge Acting Administrator Envione al regular basis in communities across the 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Wasination, states should no longer be left to Dearfendufor themselves. The federal Acrogovernment should provide actionable Ensuing clean drinking water is an issue that affects communities in every corner of the natioguidance...



Ramifications For Sites With 1,4-Dioxane Above Action Levels

- Increased liability for this emerging chemical of concern
- TRRP leaves the door open for sites to be re-opened or denied closure should 1,4-Dioxane be identified in the future as a chemical of concern
- Absent on almost all VCP Certificates of Completion at "closed" chlorinated solvent sites - Example - If 4 of 5 COCs are successfully remediated and listed on the VCP Cert. of Completion, but 1,4-Dioxane was unchanged (and not listed on Cert.), is the site really "CLOSED"?
- Potential liability for current discharge to the environment where 1,4-Dioxane is not being tested (and is present) in the effluent stream including to POTWs



Ramifications For Sites With 1,4-**Dioxane Above Action Levels**

- Additional time and cost to determine its presence
- Potentially larger investigation areas due to high solubility and mobility
- Ineffective treatment for 1,4-Dioxane impacted water with traditional remediation methods
- Effective treatment for 1,4-Dioxane is available, but comparatively expensive and technically challenging
 - Expensive and challenging compared to methods that do not work; potentially inexpensive compared to retained liability







Join The LinkedIn Discussion Group: Start 1994 Dioxane and Perfluorinated pounds have been rs Compounds in the Environmental properties present unique challenges to the environmental professional.



X.



Scott Poynor

*** 1mo

This grows is intended to promote discussion and This grows is intended to promote discussion and tion on the assessment, forensics and remediation of 1,4-Dioxane and Perfluoronated Compounds in the environment. Show less

Q Search

្រះ

Manage

New York Governor Cuomo calls on the EPA to establish a SDWA MCL for 1,4-Dioxane

New York Governor Cuomo calls on the EPA to establish a SDWA MCL for 1,4-Dioxane in a February 11, 2017 letter. This letter also references PFOA and PFOS. Here is the news announcement: http://www.governor.ny.gov/news/governor-cuomo-calls-epa-set-c... Show more



Governor Cuomo Calls on EPA to Set Clear and Enforceable Drinking Water Standard for 1, 4-Dioxane

New York State is formally calling on the federal Environmental Protection Agency to establish an official drinking water standard...





A You Unlike Comment

174-DIOXANE TCE cis 1,2-DCE AN EMERGING TCA 1,1-DCE CONTAMINANT...

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

VC

J. SCOTT POYNOR, PG SPOYNOR@GSTG.NET (214) 837-9587

1,4-Dioxane

